

Manuscript Number: JFF-D-18-00053R2

Title: A Meta-analysis of Cumin (Cuminum cyminum L.) Consumption on
Metabolic and Anthropometric Indices in Overweight and Type 2 Diabetics

Article Type: Review Article

Keywords: Cumin; Anthropometrics; Glycaemic Status; Lipid Profile; Type 2
Diabetes; Overweight

Corresponding Author: Dr. Sadegh Jafarnejad,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Kashan University of Medical Sciences

First Author: Sadegh Jafarnejad

Order of Authors: Sadegh Jafarnejad; Catherine Tsang; Mohsen
Taghizadeh; Zatoollah Asemi; Seyed Ali Keshavarz

Abstract: Objective: To conduct a systematic review, including meta-analysis, of published RCTs of cumin to determine the effect on anthropometric and metabolic indices in overweight and/or type 2 diabetics, relative to controls.

Methods: Studies were identified by a search of electronic databases before December 2017. Seven RCTs were included, and combined and stratified analyses were used.

Results: Seven trials were identified, and data from 412 subjects were included. Pooled analysis showed improvements in bodyweight, BMI and FBG by -1.74 kg, -0.67 kg/m² and -17.82 mg/dL respectively. Significant findings were also observed in TG [WMD: -21.23 (95% CI: -37.64 to -4.82; P< 0.001, I² = 92%)] and HDL-c [WMD: 4.16 (95%CI: 3.30, 5.01; P< 0.001, I²=82%)] following cumin intake. No changes were found in controls.

Conclusions: Cumin improves anthropometric and metabolic indices in overweight and/or type 2 diabetic subjects. The changes were related to the clinical condition and quality assessment.

COVER LETTER FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

Subject: **SUBMISSION OF A MANUSCRIPT FOR EVALUATION**

Dear Editor

I am enclosing herewith a manuscript entitled “Effects of Cumin Consumption on Glycaemic Status, Lipid Profile and Anthropometric indices in Overweight and/or Type 2 Diabetic Subjects: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials” for publication in “Journal of Functional Foods” for possible evaluation.

With the submission of this manuscript I would like to undertake that the above mentioned manuscript has not been published elsewhere, accepted for publication elsewhere or under editorial review for publication elsewhere; and that my Institute’s (Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, I.R. Iran) representative is fully aware of this submission.

Submitted manuscript is a (Select one option)

- Review Article

For the Editors, I would like to disclose the following information about the project:

The research project was conducted under the supervision of:

Dr. Sadegh Jafarnejad

Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, I.R. Iran

***Highlights**

- 1- Cumin has beneficial effects on both anthropometric and metabolic indices.
- 2- The changes are related to the clinical condition and quality assessment.
- 3- Common factors that are significantly affected in all clinical conditions are FBG and HDL-c.
- 4- The favorable effects of cumin consumption are mainly by phytoestrogen content and presence of insulin-like growth factors.

**A Meta-analysis of Cumin (Cuminum cyminum L.)
Consumption on Matabolic and Anthropometric Indices in
Overweight and Type 2 Diabetics**

Sadegh Jafarnejad^{*1}, Catherine Tsang², Mohsen Taghizadeh¹, Zatollah Asemi¹
and Seyed Ali Keshavarz³

1. Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases,
Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, IR Iran.
2. Faculty of Health and Social Care, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk,
Lancashire, United Kingdom.
3. Department of Clinical Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and
Dietetic, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

A shortened version of the title: Cumin Supplementation on Anthropometric and Metabolic
indicators.

* Corresponding Author. Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic
Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, I.R. Iran. Tel: +98-31-55463378;
Fax: +98-31-55463377. E-mail addresses: sjafarnejad@alumnus.tums.ac.ir

Abstract

Objective: To conduct a systematic review, including meta-analysis, of published RCTs of cumin to determine the effect on anthropometric and metabolic indices in overweight and/or type 2 diabetics, relative to controls.

Methods: Studies were identified by a search of electronic databases before December 2017. Seven RCTs were included, and combined and stratified analyses were used.

Results: Seven trials were identified, and data from 412 subjects were included. Pooled analysis showed improvements in bodyweight, BMI and FBG by -1.74 kg, -0.67 kg/m² and -17.82 mg/dL respectively. Significant findings were also observed in TG [WMD: -21.23 (95% CI: -37.64 to -4.82; P< 0.001, I² = 92%)] and HDL-c [WMD: 4.16 (95%CI: 3.30, 5.01; P< 0.001, I²=82%)] following cumin intake. No changes were found in controls.

Conclusions: Cumin improves anthropometric and metabolic indices in overweight and/or type 2 diabetic subjects. The changes were related to the clinical condition and quality assessment.

Keywords: Cumin, Anthropometrics, Glycaemic Status, Lipid Profile, Type 2 Diabetes, Overweight

Introduction

Overweight and obesity, and their associated comorbidities including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), cardiovascular disease (CVD) and hypertension, are increasingly prevalent and considered major public health issues globally (Grundy 2004). T2DM is a serious metabolic disorder associated with the accelerated development of end-stage renal disease, diabetic retinopathy and peripheral nerve damage (WHO 2016). Preventative measures include dietary approaches in the control of blood glucose and body weight regulation, and dietary constituents with anti-obesity and anti-diabetic potential may be important in the management of hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia (Tuomilehto et al. 2001).

Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) is a flowering annual herbaceous plant of the Apiaceae family, native to the Mediterranean, India, Egypt and Iran and is widely used for its culinary and medicinal properties (Sowbhagya et al. 2007). The putative health properties of cumin has been ascribed to aldehydes, terpenes, alkaloids, tannins, sterols, dietary fibre and polyphenols (Jalali-Heravi et al. 2007, Thippeswamy and Naidu 2005). The total phenolic content of cumin ranges from 4.1 to 53.6 mg/g dry weight, and over 19 compounds including phenolic acids and flavonoids, have been identified (Rebey et al. 2012). Evidence from experimental and clinical studies indicate some improvements on metabolic indices, with polyphenols exhibiting an inhibitory effect on

pancreatic lipase and cuminaldehyde inhibiting α -glycosidase and aldose reductase indicating their potential antidiabetic properties (Jafari et al. 2017, Keyhan et al. 2016, Zare et al. 2014). However, evidence from RCTs are limited and remain inconclusive. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the efficacy of cumin supplementation on anthropometric and metabolic indicators in overweight and/or T2DM populations.

Materials and Methods

The present meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis) requirements for interventional research (Moher et al. 2009).

Search strategy

Four databases; including PubmedTM, Google ScholarTM, Web of ScienceTM, and ScopusTM were used to identify related publications. Published RCTs were searched from inception to November 2017. Reference lists from retrieved studies were also manually searched for additional relevant publications. Three groups of medical subject headings; (MeSH) and non-MeSH keywords were considered for searching the studies: Group 1: “Cumin”, “Cumin oil”, “Cumin supplementation”, “cumin seed”, “Cuminum cyminum”; group 2: “weight”, “bodyweight”, “BMI”, “body mass index” and group 3: “glycemic control” and lipid indices (cholesterol OR “plasma lipids” OR triglycerides OR LDL-c OR

1 HDL-c OR “serum lipids” OR FBS OR FBG OR “fasting blood glucose” OR
2
3 diabetes OR T2DM).
4
5

6 Studies were included if they followed a RCT study design with cumin or
7
8 cumin-containing products as the intervention. Those published in English
9
10 and/or Persian were included, in addition to studies reporting mean changes
11
12 with their standard deviations of metabolic indices (including glycaemic and
13
14 lipidemic indicators) and anthropometric parameters (including weight change
15
16 and BMI), or data for calculating these indicators.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Data extraction**

25
26
27 Data were extracted from published studies independently by three reviewers
28
29 and any disagreements were resolved by consensus among the researchers using
30
31 the standardised extraction forms to guarantee accuracy and consistency. The
32
33 following key data were extracted: year of publication, country where the
34
35 intervention was conducted, sample size of both intervention and control
36
37 groups, clinical condition of subjects, intervention/placebo details and
38
39 composition including the dosage of cumin supplementation (gram or mg per
40
41 day), treatment duration and significant outcomes. In addition, anthropometric
42
43 measures (BMI and weight change) were reported as kg/m² and kg respectively,
44
45 and serum levels of both glycaemic indices (FBG and HbA1C), and lipid
46
47 indices (TC, LDL-c, HDL-c and TG) were reported as mg/dL. For papers
48
49 containing data in mmol/l, a numerical conversion to mg/dL was carried out
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 based on molecular weight. Serum HbA1c was represented as a percentage
2
3 value. Corresponding authors of trials with no reported mean and SD values for
4
5 any outcomes of interest were contacted to request their data. Only the studies
6
7 providing these data were included in the present meta-analysis.
8
9

10 11 12 **Quality assessment**

13
14
15 The Jadad scale was used to assess the methodological quality of included trials.
16
17 The score ranges from 0 (very low) to 5 (very high). The Jadad scale assesses
18
19 the quality of published clinical trials based on three parameters; randomisation,
20
21 blinding and follow-up. The description of the Jadad scale system is as follows;
22
23 for randomisation or blinding: 1 point if randomisation/blinding is mentioned, 1
24
25 additional point if the method of randomisation/blinding is appropriate and
26
27 deduction of 1 point if the method of randomisation/blinding is inappropriate;
28
29 and for follow up: 1 point if the fate of all subjects is known (Jadad et al. 1996).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Statistical analysis**

40
41
42 The statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager Software
43
44 (RevMan 5.3; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, England). Pooled weighted
45
46 mean difference (WMD) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated
47
48 to assess the effects of cumin on anthropometric and metabolic indices,
49
50 including glycemic and lipid parameters. A threshold of $P < 0.1$ was set for
51
52 identifying presence of heterogeneity. The degree of inconsistency between the
53
54 studies was considered significant when $I^2 > 50\%$. A random effects model was
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

used if $I^2 > 50\%$ and $P < 0.1$. A fixed effects model was used if $I^2 < 50\%$ and $P > 0.1$. Moreover, Subgroup analyses were conducted according to the Cochrane guidelines (Gopalakrishnan and Ganeshkumar 2013). The funnel plot test was used in order to examine the existence of publication bias. If publication bias exists, the funnel plot shows an asymmetric appearance. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Search results and study selection

A flow chart depicting the process of selection and literature search is presented in Figure 1. The literature search of electronic databases, identified 93 relevant articles, of which 62 total abstracts were excluded due to review articles ($n=3$), non-English ($n=8$), duplicate ($n=18$) studies, and 33 irrelevant articles such as editorials, letters and case reports. All remaining abstracts were considered for full-text review. Out of the 31 studies, 24 were excluded because they were preclinical studies or containing insufficient reporting of clinical data, inadequate characterization of the patients or primary or secondary outcomes other than metabolic or anthropometric indices. Finally, a total of 7 RCTs were included in this meta-analysis (Andallu and Ramya 2007, Jafari et al. 2017, Shavakhi et al. 2015, Taghizadeh et al. 2016, Taghizadeh et al. 2015, Zare et al. 2014, Keyhan et al. 2016).

Description of the studies and quality assessment

Characteristics of the included trials are shown in Table 1. All trials were published between 2007 and 2017, of which six studies were conducted in Iran and one study was performed in India. A total of 412 adults with T2DM (+overweight) or overweight subjects (Intervention group, n=203; Control group, n=209) were re-analysed in the study. The estimated average age of subjects was 41 years. Duration of follow-up ranged from 60 days to 6 months. Cumin dosing ranged from 25 mg/day to 5 g/day. Cumin seed powder (Andallu and Ramya 2007, Zare et al. 2014) Cuminum cyminum essential oil (Jafari et al. 2017, Keyhan et al. 2016, Shavakhi et al. 2015, Taghizadeh et al. 2015) and capsule (Taghizadeh et al. 2016) were the forms used in the trials. After evaluation of the study quality, three studies were classified as low quality with Jadad score of ≤ 3 (Andallu and Ramya 2007, Jafari et al. 2017, Shavakhi et al. 2015) and the remaining four as high quality studies with Jadad score of >3 (Keyhan et al. 2016, Taghizadeh et al. 2016, Taghizadeh et al. 2015, Zare et al. 2014)

The effects of cumin on anthropometric indices

The pooled analysis was generated from the data of 317 subjects in 5 trials reporting changes in BMI or weight change (Intervention group, n=158 and control group, n=159)(Shavakhi et al. 2015, Taghizadeh et al. 2016, Taghizadeh

et al. 2015, Zare et al. 2014). Among them, four trials reported a reduction of BMI and bodyweight after consuming cumin. The meta-analysis of the trials showed a significant reduction of bodyweight by -1.74 kg [95% confidence interval (CI), -2.2 to -1.28; $p < 0.00001$] compared with control groups. The pooled mean net change in BMI for the treatment group compared to controls was -0.67 kg/m^2 (95% CI: -0.83 to -0.5; $p < 0.00001$). The forest plots of the effects were presented in Figure 2 (a, b). There was no statistical heterogeneity among studies in the bodyweight and BMI meta-analysis ($I^2 = 30\%$; $p = 0.23$ and $I^2 = 0\%$; $p = 0.42$ for bodyweight and BMI, respectively). The funnel plot of data taken from different variables including BMI, bodyweight and LDL-c showed no asymmetry, indicating a low risk of publication bias (Figure 4a, b, c).

The effects of cumin on metabolic indices including blood glucose and lipid concentration

Since the varying units for indices (including for FBG, TG, TC, LDL-c and HDL-c) had been used in the included studies, all the values were converted to the same unit (mg/dL).

A high level of statistical heterogeneities were observed for the meta-analysis of all metabolic indices except for LDL-c. Therefore, the random effects model was selected for synthesis of the data. Subgroup analyses were performed to explore the potential factors contributing to heterogeneity. Compared to the overall results, the heterogeneity showed a decrease in indicators including TG and HDL-c in subgroup analyses by clinical condition.

1 The serum level of FBG was analyzed in seven included studies (Andallu and
2 Ramya 2007, Jafari et al. 2017, Keyhan et al. 2016, Shavakhi et al. 2015,
3 Taghizadeh et al. 2016, Taghizadeh et al. 2015, Zare et al. 2014). The pooled
4 estimate indicated significant differences between the mean changes in FBG in
5 the treatment group compared with the control group [WMD: -17.82 mg/dL
6 (95% CI: -32.12 to -3.53; P for heterogeneity < 0.00001, I² = 99%)] (Figure 3-
7 A), while HbA1c mean changes did not show any significant differences
8 between treatment and control group[WMD: -0.95 mg/dL (95% CI: -2.07 to
9 0.16; P for heterogeneity < 0.0004, I² = 87%)](Figure 3-B).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 As regards to the investigated serum lipid indices, the effects of cumin intake
27 were evaluated in six studies (Andallu and Ramya 2007, Keyhan et al. 2016,
28 Shavakhi et al. 2015, Taghizadeh et al. 2016, Taghizadeh et al. 2015, Zare et al.
29 2014). The pooled mean net change in TG for the treatment group compared to
30 controls was -21.23 mg/dL that was statistically significant (95% CI: -37.64 to -
31 4.82; P for heterogeneity < 0.0001, I² = 92%) (Figure 3-C). The difference
32 between pooled mean net change in total cholesterol and LDL-c for the
33 treatment group compared to control group were not significant (WMD for total
34 cholesterol: -7.84 mg/dL, 95% CI: -17.93 to 2.25, P for heterogeneity <
35 0.00001, I² = 88%; WMD for LDL-c: -2.23 mg/dL, 95% CI: -4.77 to 0.30, P for
36 heterogeneity < 0.17, I² = 34%) (Figure 3-D, 3-E). For serum HDL-c level, the
37 pooled mean net change was 4.16 in the treatment group [95%CI: 3.30, 5.01; p

for heterogeneity < 0.00001 , $I^2=82\%$], which was significantly different from controls (Figure 3-F).

Effect of clinical condition

A subgroup analysis based on the clinical condition was performed to clarify the sources of heterogeneity (Table 2). Compared to the overall results, the heterogeneity of two investigated indicators, FBG and TG, decreased significantly in subgroup analysis by clinical condition of T2DM (+overweight). Significant differences in the mean change of FBG, TG and LDL-c levels were found in the subgroup analysis by diabetic (+overweight) intervention (FBG WMD = -30.86, 95% CI -53.80 to -7.91; TG WMD = -64.17, 95% CI -82.3 to -46.03; LDL-c WMD= 5.48, 95% CI 4.41 to 6.54) which was consistent with the overall analysis (Table 2). In addition, the analysis confirmed a significant difference in the mean change of LDL-c, which is inconsistent with the overall results [WMD: -2.28 mg/dL (95% CI: -4.51 to -0.05; P for heterogeneity < 0.6 , $I^2 = 0\%$)] (Table 2). As regards to the second clinical condition, overweight status, subgroup analysis demonstrated a significant difference in the mean change of the investigated indicators same as those indicators of overall analysis except for TG levels, as shown in Table 2 (FBG WMD = -7.71, 95% CI -15.02 to -0.39; LDL-c WMD= 1.81, 95% CI 0.39 to 3.24). In contrast with the overall results, the pooled estimate indicated a non-significant difference between treatment and control groups in the mean change of TG level [WMD: -7.63

mg/dL (95% CI: -21.76 to 6.51; P for heterogeneity < 0.00001, $I^2 = 88\%$) (Table 2).

Effect of study quality

In another subgroup analysis by quality assessment, high quality studies showed significant differences in the mean change of FBG, TG and HDL-c which are in line with the overall results (FBG WMD = 0.52, 95% CI 0.11 to 0.93; TG WMD = -23.73, 95% CI -44.29 to -3.16; LDL-c WMD= 3.78, 95% CI 2.83 to 4.72). However, after the analysis of the low quality subgroup, the only factor which showed a significant difference in the mean change was HDL-c [WMD: 5.86 mg/dL (95% CI: 3.86 to 7.86; P for heterogeneity < 0.44, $I^2 = 0\%$). The heterogeneity of HDL-c decreased significantly after subgroup analysis by low quality studies, though the heterogeneities in other indices still persist.

Publication bias

The publication bias of this meta-analysis was assessed by examining funnel plot of the effects on LDL-c as a representative index for metabolic profile and both bodyweight and BMI as the representative indicators of anthropometric indices. The symmetrical funnel plots suggested that the selection of publication was not a possible source of bias (Figure 4).

Discussion

The present meta-analysis included a total of 412 adults with T2DM (+overweight) or overweight from 7 RCTs. Despite considerable heterogeneity amongst the trials, our findings indicate several benefits following cumin supplementation; reduced bodyweight, and improved glycaemic control and lipid parameters. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that has assessed the effects of cumin supplementation on anthropometric and metabolic indices.

Significant reductions in bodyweight and BMI were observed following cumin supplementation with no detectable changes in the control group. These findings were consistent across four of the seven individual RCTs assessed in this study. There were also improvements in some indices of glycaemic control (i.e. FBG). Certain bioactives in cumin may contribute to improving insulin function via preservation of β -cells, thus enhancing insulin secretion and sensitivity of hepatocytes to insulin (El-Dakhakhny et al. 2002). There is some evidence to suggest that overweight participants may respond more effectively to the hypoglycaemic properties of certain compounds (i.e. phenolic compounds) (Almoosawi et al. 2012). Several mechanisms may explain their putative antidiabetic effects including activation of the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) pathway, leading to the upregulation of the antioxidant

1 response element (ARE) gene expression, thus reducing oxidative stress, cell
2 death and inflammation (Zheng et al. 2013).
3
4
5

6 Cumin supplementation was also associated with significantly increased serum
7 levels of HDL-c. Although reductions were observed in HbA1C, TC, LDL-c,
8 they were not statistically significant. Evidence from experimental studies have
9 demonstrated hypolipidemic effects of phenolic compounds and sterols by
10 inhibiting the absorption of lipids from the intestines, and de novo cholesterol
11 synthesis and/or stimulation of bile acid excretion (Bamosa et al. 2002, Kanter
12 et al. 2005).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 After stratifying the trials according to clinical condition (T2DM with
27 overweight and overweight subjects), we observed reduced serum levels of TG,
28 LDL-c and FBG and increased level of HDL-c in T2DM with overweight
29 group, which is consistent with the overall analysis except for LDL-c. HDL-c
30 and FBG are the only factors that significantly changed after subgroup analyses
31 by overweight group. Therefore, concerning subgroup analysis based on
32 intervention, common factors that are significantly affected are FBG and HDL-
33 c. One of the interesting findings of the present meta-analysis is the
34 effectiveness of cumin on LDL-c in diabetic subjects, contrary to overweight
35 subjects. According to these finding, it seems that the improving effect of cumin
36 on LDL-c is more reliable in diabetic patients. Therefore, cumin
37 supplementation may reduce the cardiovascular events and deaths by the
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

significant reduction in TG and LDL-c and elevation in HDL-c in diabetic patients.

Analysis of RCTs by subgroup (i.e. low and high quality studies) based on Jadad scores indicated some differences between metabolic indices. Significant improvements in serum TG, HDL-c and FBG were observed in high quality studies (i.e. Jadad score ≥ 3) and were similar to our overall findings in this meta-analysis. From the one low quality study we analysed (i.e. Jadad score < 3), only HDL-c concentrations had improved. The rationale behind including the study of Andallu et al. (2007), as a low quality study, was due to its characteristics. This was the only study with a much higher dose (i.e. 5 g) and a shorter duration (60 days). Moreover, they included overweight and T2DM participants, which is one of the primary inclusion criteria of the study.

Heterogeneity and inconsistencies between studies may have influenced some of the metabolic indices observed. Possible factors include; between-study differences, selected cut-points used for positivity, differences in methodologies, participant selection procedures, study duration, method of delivery and dosage. For example, the dose of cumin supplemented in the 7 RCTs analysed was highly variable (i.e. range: 25 mg/day to 5 g/day). Differences in geographical region, distribution and time of harvest of the plant would also significantly influence the concentrations of bioactive ingredients in cumin, and the formulation supplemented (i.e. powder or oil) (Kamal et al.

2010, Taghizadeh et al. 2016). In the present study, 6 out of the 7 RCTs analysed in the meta-analysis were from Iran. One of the reasons for this was due to the restricted availability of RCTs, which is maybe because cumin is one of the traditional plant species cultivated in the Middle East, India and Iran. Similarly, this also explains why we did not include non-English RCTs in the present study due to limited number of high quality RCTs available. Despite this, studies from the same geographical region (i.e. Iran) could have minimised some of the variations discussed earlier (i.e. concentrations of bioactive compounds in cumin, similarities in clinical setting, methodologies, participant selection).

We did not observe any adverse effects following cumin supplementation from the RCTs analysed in the present study (i.e. from 60 days to 6 months). A recent animal study assessed the toxicity of essential oil derived from cumin after 23 and 45 days of oral administration. No obvious clinical signs or adverse effects were observed at doses of ≥ 500 mg/kg/d (Taghizadeh et al. 2017).

Some limitations of this meta-analysis include not controlling for confounding factors (i.e. dietary intake and physical activity) which may have influenced the results. Most of the RCTs included were of a relatively small sample size, and three studies did not use a double blind trial design (Andallu and Ramya 2007, Jafari et al. 2017, Shavakhi et al. 2015).

Despite these limitations, there were several strengths to this study. Firstly, it is to our knowledge, the first time a systematic review and meta-analysis has been performed in the evaluation of cumin supplementation on anthropometric and metabolic indices. A random effects model was utilised for assessing heterogeneity between studies, and RCTs were assessed using subgroup analysis based on clinical condition and quality assessment.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the findings from this present meta-analysis suggest some improvement in anthropometric and metabolic indices following cumin supplementation. However, due to the limited availability of studies and relatively small sample sizes of included RCTs, further investigation is warranted.

References

- Almoosawi, S., Tsang, C., Ostertag, L. M., Fyfe, L. and Al-Dujaili, E. A. (2012) 'Differential effect of polyphenol-rich dark chocolate on biomarkers of glucose metabolism and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy, overweight and obese subjects: a randomized clinical trial', *Food Funct*, 3(10), 1035-43.
- Andallu, B. and Ramya, V. (2007) 'Antihyperglycemic, Cholesterol-Lowering and HDL-Raising Effects of Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*) Seeds in Type - 2 Diabetes', *Journal of Natural Remedies; Volume 7, Issue 1, January 2007*.
- Bamosa, A. O., Ali, B. A. and al-Hawsawi, Z. A. (2002) 'The effect of thymoquinone on blood lipids in rats', *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol*, 46(2), 195-201.

- 1 El-Dakhakhny, M., Mady, N., Lember, N. and Ammon, H. P. (2002) 'The hypoglycemic effect of
2 Nigella sativa oil is mediated by extrapancreatic actions', *Planta Med*, 68(5), 465-6.
3
- 4 Gopalakrishnan, S. and Ganeshkumar, P. (2013) 'Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis:
5 Understanding the Best Evidence in Primary Healthcare', *J Family Med Prim Care*, 2(1), 9-14.
6
7
- 8 Grundy, S. M. (2004) 'Obesity, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease', *J Clin Endocrinol*
9 *Metab*, 89(6), 2595-600.
10
11
- 12 Jadad, A. R., Moore, R. A., Carroll, D., Jenkinson, C., Reynolds, D. J., Gavaghan, D. J. and McQuay, H. J.
13 (1996) 'Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary?',
14 *Control Clin Trials*, 17(1), 1-12.
15
16
17
- 18 Jafari, S., Sattari, R. and Ghavamzadeh, S. i. (2017) 'Evaluation the effect of 50 and 100 mg doses of
19 Cuminum cyminum essential oil on glycemic indices, insulin resistance and serum
20 inflammatory factors on patients with diabetes type II: A double-blind randomized placebo-
21 controlled clinical trial', *Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine*, 7(3), 332-338.
22
23
24
- 25 Jalali-Heravi, M., Zekavat, B. and Sereshti, H. (2007) 'Use of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
26 combined with resolution methods to characterize the essential oil components of Iranian
27 cumin and caraway', *J Chromatogr A*, 1143(1-2), 215-26.
28
29
- 30 Kamal, A., Arif, J. M. and Ahmad, I. Z. (2010) 'Potential of Nigella sativa L. seed during different
31 phases of germination on inhibition of bacterial growth'.
32
33
- 34 Kanter, M., Coskun, O. and Budancamanak, M. (2005) 'Hepatoprotective effects of Nigella sativa L
35 and Urtica dioica L on lipid peroxidation, antioxidant enzyme systems and liver enzymes in
36 carbon tetrachloride-treated rats', *World J Gastroenterol*, 11(42), 6684-8.
37
38
39
- 40 Keyhan, G., Gharib, M. H., Momeni, A., Hemati, Z. and Sedighin, R. (2016) 'A Comparison Between
41 the Effect of Cuminum Cyminum and Vitamin E on the Level of Leptin, Paraoxonase 1, HbA1c
42 and Oxidized LDL in Diabetic Patients', *Int J Mol Cell Med*, 5(4), 229-235.
43
44
- 45 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J. and Altman, D. G. (2009) 'Preferred reporting items for systematic
46 reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement', *Ann Intern Med*, 151(4), 264-9, w64.
47
48
49
- 50 Rebey, I. B., Zakhama, N., Karoui, I. J. and Marzouk, B. (2012) 'Polyphenol composition and
51 antioxidant activity of cumin (Cuminum cyminum L.) seed extract under drought', *J Food Sci*,
52 77(6), C734-9.
53
54
- 55 Shavakhi, A., Torki, M., Khodadoostan, M. and Shavakhi, S. (2015) 'Effects of cumin on nonalcoholic
56 steatohepatitis: A double blind, randomised, controlled trial', *Adv Biomed Res*, 4, 212.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Sowbhagya, H. B., Suma, P. F., Mahadevamma, S. and Tharanathan, R. N. (2007) 'Spent residue from
2 cumin – a potential source of dietary fiber', *Food Chemistry*, 104(3), 1220-1225.
- 3
- 4 Taghizadeh, M., Memarzadeh, M. R., Abedi, F., Sharifi, N., Karamali, F., Fakhrieh Kashan, Z. and
5 Asemi, Z. (2016) 'The Effect of Cumin cyminum L. Plus Lime Administration on Weight Loss
6 and Metabolic Status in Overweight Subjects: A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-
7 Controlled Clinical Trial', *Iran Red Crescent Med J*, 18(8), e34212.
- 8
- 9
- 10 Taghizadeh, M., Memarzadeh, M. R., Asemi, Z. and Esmailzadeh, A. (2015) 'Effect of the cumin
11 cyminum L. Intake on Weight Loss, Metabolic Profiles and Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress in
12 Overweight Subjects: A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial', *Ann Nutr*
13 *Metab*, 66(2-3), 117-24.
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 Taghizadeh, M., Ostad, S. N., Asemi, Z., Mahboubi, M., Hejazi, S., Sharafati-Chaleshtori, R., Rashidi,
18 A., Akbari, H. and Sharifi, N. (2017) 'Sub-chronic oral toxicity of Cuminum cyminum L.'s
19 essential oil in female Wistar rats', *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*, 88, 138-143.
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 Thippeswamy, N. B. and Naidu, K. A. (2005) 'Antioxidant potency of cumin varieties—cumin, black
24 cumin and bitter cumin—on antioxidant systems', *European Food Research and Technology*,
25 220(5), 472-476.
- 26
- 27
- 28 Tuomilehto, J., Lindstrom, J., Eriksson, J. G., Valle, T. T., Hamalainen, H., Ilanne-Parikka, P., Keinanen-
29 Kiukaanniemi, S., Laakso, M., Louheranta, A., Rastas, M., Salminen, V. and Uusitupa, M.
30 (2001) 'Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with
31 impaired glucose tolerance', *N Engl J Med*, 344(18), 1343-50.
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35 WHO (2016) 'World Health Organization (WHO) Global Report on Diabetes.'
- 36
- 37
- 38 Zare, R., Heshmati, F., Fallahzadeh, H. and Nadjarzadeh, A. (2014) 'Effect of cumin powder on body
39 composition and lipid profile in overweight and obese women', *Complement Ther Clin Pract*,
40 20(4), 297-301.
- 41
- 42
- 43 Zheng, X. X., Xu, Y. L., Li, S. H., Hui, R., Wu, Y. J. and Huang, X. H. (2013) 'Effects of green tea
44 catechins with or without caffeine on glycemic control in adults: a meta-analysis of
45 randomized controlled trials', *Am J Clin Nutr*, 97(4), 750-62.
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

Table 1: Characteristics of included trials

Author	Year	Country	No. of Subjects in case group	No. of controls	Gender	Age(mean)	Follow-up Duration	Clinical Condition	Dosage	Significant Outcome	Jadad score
Andallu	2007	India	10	10	F/M	40-60	60 days	Overweight with T2DM	Cumin seed powder;5 g/day	Reduction in FBG, TG, TC and HDL	1
Jafari	2017	Iran	30	30	F/M	47.33 ±6.35	8 weeks	Overweight with T2DM	essential oil of C. cyminum;100/50 mg/day	Reduction in FBS and HbA1C	3
Keyhan	2016	Iran	29	34	F/M	30-75	3 months	Overweight with T2DM	essential oil of C. cyminum;25 mg/day	FBG, HbA1c% and TG were significantly reduced	4
Shavakhi	2015	Iran	40	41	F/M	38.6 ±9.9	6 months	Overweight and Obese	essential oil of C. cyminum;25 mg/day	Elevation of AST and HDL-C	3
Taghizadeh	2015	Iran	26	26	F/M	18-60	8 weeks	Overweight	essential oil of C. cyminum;100 mg/day	Reduction in serum insulin levels and HOMA-B and rise in QUICKI	4
Taghizadeh	2016	Iran	24	24	F/M	18-50	8 weeks	Overweight	C. cyminum capsule;75/25 mg/day	Significant weight loss, reduction in fasting plasma glucose and a significant rise in quantitative insulin sensitivity check index	4
Zare	2014	Iran	44	44	F	37.2±8.4	3 months	Overweight and Obese	C. cyminum powder;1.5 g/day	Reduction of serum levels of fasting cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL, weight, BMI, waist circumference, fat mass and increasing of serum HDL.Weight, BMI, waist circumference, fat mass	5

Table 2: Subgroup analysis*

subgroup	TG			TC			LDL		
	WMD (95% CI)	Test for heterogeneity(I ² , P)	Test for overall effect	WMD (95% CI)	Test for heterogeneity(I ² , P)	Test for overall effect	WMD (95% CI)	Test for heterogeneity(I ² , P)	Test for overall effect
Clinical Condition									
Quality of study									
Overweight with T2DM									
Overweight									
Low Quality									
High Quality									

*: Abbreviations: TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol;; FBG, fasting blood glucose; WMD, weighted mean difference; CI, confidence interval.

Table 2: Subgroup analysis* (Continued)

subgroup	HDL			FBG			HbA1c			
	WMD (95% CI)	Test for heterogeneity(I2, P)	Test for overall effect	WMD (95% CI)	Test for heterogeneity(I2, P)	Test for overall effect	WMD (95% CI)	Test for heterogeneity(I2, P)	Test for overall effect	
Clinical Condition										
	Overweight with T2DM	5.48 [4.41,6.54]	0%, P=0.38	p<0.00001	-30.86 [-53.80, -7.91]	95%, P < 0.00001	p=0.008	-0.95 [-2.07, 0.16]	87%, P=0.0004	p=0.09
	Overweight	1.81 [0.39, 3.24]	75%, P=0.003	P=0.01	-7.71 [-15.02, -0.39]	91%, P < 0.00001	p=0.04	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Quality of study										
	Low Quality	5.86 [3.86, 7.86]	0%, P=0.44	P<0.0001	-17.27 [-37.79, 3.24]	99%, P < 0.00001	P=0.1	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
	High Quality	3.78 [2.83, 4.72]	86%, P < 0.00001	p=0.02	0.52 [0.11, 0.93]	57%, P = 0.04	p=0.01	0.05 [-0.12, 0.21]	71%, P = 0.02	p=0.59

*: Abbreviations: TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol;; FBG, fasting blood glucose; WMD, weighted mean difference; CI, confidence interval.





Figure 1: Meta-analysis Flow Diagram

A) Bodyweight



B) BMI






Figure 2: Forest plots showing the association between cumin intake and anthropometric indices: Weight Change (A), BMI (B). Abbreviation(s): BMI, body mass index; d. Random effects model was used to pool the mean change of indicators.


A) FBG




B) HbA1c




C) TG



D) TC



E) LDL-c



F) HDL-c






Figure 3: Forest plots showing the association between cumin intake and serum metabolic indices; FBG(A), HbA1c(B), TG (C), TC(D), LDL-c (E), HDL-c (F), Abbreviations: FBG, fasting blood glucose; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; d. Random effects model was used to pool the mean change of indicators.

A)

B)



C)




Figure 4: Funnel plot of studies included in the meta-analysis for the outcome of Bodyweight (A),BMI (B) and LDL-c(C) . MD = Mean Difference, SE = standard error.

Supplementary Interactive Plot Data (CSV)

[Click here to download Supplementary Interactive Plot Data \(CSV\): graphical abstract.jpg](#)